WHAT’S ON MY MIND: USING SOCIAL MEDIA TO DISCUSS STRESSFUL SITUATIONS

Jennifer Knight
West Virginia University

Nicholas D. Bowman
West Virginia University

Jaime Banks
West Virginia University

Brian Primack
University of Pittsburgh

Ariel Shensa
University of Pittsburgh

Jason Colditz
University of Pittsburgh

Anecdotes abound cautioning against too much engagement with social media (SM)—fueled by scientific evidence which suggests time spent with SM is positively associated with depression and negatively associated with well-being and life-satisfaction (Kross et al., 2013; Lui et al., 2016). Due to SM users’ tendencies to convey idealized self-presentations, people who use Facebook longer believe that life is less fair, and other people have better, happier lives (Chou & Edge, 2012).

However, such studies rarely explore how people are using SM in ways that could potentially alleviate depressive symptoms. For instance, depressed adolescents openly disclose their feelings and offer encouragement to others with depression on anonymous sites (Radovic, Blackwood, & Miller, 2015) as well as on conspicuous sites where they are strongly connected to their followers (e.g., Snapchat, AUTHORS). These social support seeking behaviors can alleviate stress by making one feel cared for and validated (Cobb, 1976). SM is well-suited for this since it eliminates temporal and geographical restrictions on interactions and reduces self-disclosure barriers (Blight, Jagiello, & Ruppel, 2015). Extant literature largely ignores that people might use SM to discuss stressors and emotions in order to receive support, in the service of their...
mental health. We sought to understand (RQ1) what types of stressful and emotional situations do people discuss on SM?

Method

Participants were $N = 1,593$ college students who responded to a larger online survey about mental health and SM use, and answered an open-ended question: “Can you describe for us the type(s) of stressful or emotional situations that you typically discuss on social media?” Average response length was $M = 10.69$ words ($Mdn = 6; SD = 16.42$). Data was cleaned to remove $n = 374$ blank responses and $n = 19$ nonsensical responses, resulting in $n = 1,200$ cases.

Participants were primarily white ($n = 893, 74.4\%$), $M = 22.39$ years ($SD = 6.35$), with $n = 392$ males ($32.7\%$), $n = 788$ females ($65.7\%$), and $n = 20$ ($1.7\%$) non-binary gender. Participants reported their most-used SM platforms: Facebook ($n = 451, 37.6\%$), Snapchat ($n = 355, 29.6\%$), Twitter ($n = 210, 17.5\%$), and Instagram ($n = 184, 15.3\%$). Our unit of observation was an utterance (complete thought, marked by punctuation, capitalization, and/or double spaces), and a total $n = 1414$ utterances were coded. Units were analyzed using emergent thematic analysis (Clarke & Braun, 2014) to assign codes to each utterance, collapsed into categories to develop themes. We coded random subsamples until reaching saturation at $n = 104$ responses and $n = 52$ codes; participants’ responses were coded with multiple themes if multiple utterances existed.

Results

Codes were combined into 10 unique themes, with their definitions, frequencies, and sample utterances given in Table 1; the distribution of themes differed significantly from random (10% distribution), $\chi^2(9) = 113.8, p < .001$, Cramer’s $V = .283$. 
Discussion

The most common theme was to Refrain from Discussion, as many believe SM are not appropriate venues for discussing stressful situations. This supports the perceived positivity bias that exists on SM, which is key because if people believe negativity is inappropriate on SM, this could diminish social support seeking (Tong & Westerman, 2016). School/Work, Daily Personal Struggles, and Relational Conflict all contained venting—a common motivation for SM use, particularly for people without offline support networks (Baker & Moore, 2008).

Less frequent discussions included Deaths/Illness and Large Scale Global Issues, acknowledging that people emotionally connect on SM during these times (Brubaker, Kirvan-Swaine, Taber, & Hayes, 2012). Humorous Complaint posts turned unpleasant situations into funny ones, potentially to receive support without breaking politeness norms (McGraw, Warren, & Kan, 2014). Serious Emotional Struggles were rare, partially due to relatively low levels of depression in our participants (assessed as a separate project, cf. AUTHOR). Missing People & Places might be prompted by the “fear of missing out” (Alt, 2015). Lastly, Vaguebooksing contained ambiguous requests for support, driven by communication privacy management, as some people will not divulge personal details but still want social support benefits (Child & Starcher, 2016).

In light of debates surrounding SM use and depression, it is worthwhile to consider the different ways SM might be used relative to mental health, like discussing emotional situations that could incite depressive symptoms. Our data, while restricted to a college-
aged population, suggests that although many users avoid these discussions, a variety of stressful topics were still shared on SM. Notably, the current study did not explore the impact of these discussions on enhancing (or damaging) users’ mental state, so future research could examine the relative impact of these discussions on seeking social support and addressing depressive symptoms like chronic stress. We also encourage a granular look at potential differences in discussions as a function of one's preferred SM platform, or the social structures therein. Overall, this study highlights the importance of examining users’ interactions on SM to fully understand the SM-mental health link.
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